

# Ecological site F119XY015AR Loamy Wet Bottomland

Accessed: 04/24/2024

## General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

## MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 119X–Ouachita Mountains

This ecological site is found in MLRA 119: Ouachita Mountains.

This area is in the Ouachita Mountains Section of the Ouachita Province of the Interior Highlands. The steep mountains are underlain by folded and faulted sedimentary and metamorphic rocks. Most of the stream valleys are narrow and have steep gradients, but wide terraces and flood plains border the Ouachita River in western Arkansas. Elevation ranges from 330 feet (100 meters) on the lowest valley floors to 2,625 feet (800 meters) on the highest mountain peaks. Local relief is generally 100 to 200 feet (30 to 60 meters), but it can exceed 980 feet (300 meters).

## Classification relationships

South-Central Interior Large Floodplain

Summary: This floodplain system is found in the Interior Highlands as far west as eastern Oklahoma, as well as throughout the Interior Low Plateau, Cumberland, Southern Ridge and Valley, and Western Allegheny Plateau, and lower elevations of the Southern Blue Ridge. Examples occur along large rivers or streams where topography and alluvial processes have resulted in a well-developed floodplain. A single occurrence may extend from river's edge across the outermost extent of the floodplain or to where it meets a wet meadow or upland system. Many examples of this system will contain well-drained levees, terraces and stabilized bars, and some will include herbaceous sloughs and shrub wetlands resulting, in part, from beaver activity. A variety of soil types may be found within the

floodplain from very well-drained sandy substrates to very dense clays. It is this variety of substrates in combination with different flooding regimes that creates the mix of vegetation. Most areas, except for the montane alluvial forests, are inundated at some point each spring; microtopography determines how long the various habitats are inundated. Although vegetation is quite variable in this broadly defined system, examples may include *Acer saccharinum*, *Platanus occidentalis*, *Liquidambar styraciflua*, and *Quercus* spp. Understory species are mixed, but include shrubs, such as *Cephalanthus occidentalis* and *Arundinaria gigantea* ssp. *gigantea*, and sedges (*Carex* spp.). This system likely floods at least once annually and can be altered by occasional severe floods. Impoundments and conversion to agriculture can also impact this system.

## Ecological site concept

This site is occasionally flooded with an aquic moisture and thermic temperature regimes. It has very deep loamy soils.

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

Tree	(1) <i>Platanus occidentalis</i>
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Carex</i>

## Physiographic features

This site is on 0 to 2 percent slopes on flood-plain steps.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

Landforms	(1) Flood-plain step
Flooding frequency	None to occasional
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	164–1,200 ft
Slope	0–2%
Ponding depth	0 in
Water table depth	6–13 in
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

## Climatic features

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**

Frost-free period (average)	201 days
Freeze-free period (average)	231 days
Precipitation total (average)	58 in

## Climate stations used

- (1) HOT SPRINGS 1 NNE [USC00033466], Hot Springs National Park, AR
- (2) BLAKELY MTN DAM [USC00030764], Mountain Pine, AR
- (3) MURFREESBORO 1W [USC00035079], Murfreesboro, AR
- (4) HOT SPRINGS ASOS [USW00003962], Donaldson, AR

## Influencing water features

## Soil features

The soil series associated with this site are: Woodall and Bonnerdale. They are Deep, Poorly drained to Somewhat poorly drained, and Moderately slow to Moderately rapid permeable soils, with very acidic to neutral soil reaction, that formed in alluvium and residuum.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

Parent material	(1) Alluvium–sandstone and shale
Surface texture	(1) Fine sandy loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Poorly drained to somewhat poorly drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow to moderately rapid
Soil depth	54 in
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0%
Available water capacity (0-40in)	5.7–6.3 in
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-40in)	0%
Electrical conductivity (0-40in)	0 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-40in)	0
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-40in)	5–7
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0–17%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0%

## Ecological dynamics

The historical reference state for this ecological site was old growth bottomland forest. Historically, Loamy Floodplain Step Forests in the Ouachita Mountains were on relatively stable floodplain positions that flooded approximately once every 3 to 5 years. This state was dominated by a variety of mesic species such as sugar maple, northern red oak, and bitternut hickory. Maximum tree age was likely 150 to 200 years. The understory was complex, with multiple layers of shade-tolerant species. A highly diverse ground flora was also present.

## State and transition model




Figure 6. F119XY015AR, Loamy wet Bottomland

Code	Event/Activity
T1A	Uneven-age timber management
T1B, T4A	Clearing; pasture planting; prescribed grazing
T5A	Pasture planting; prescribed grazing
T1C	Poorly planned harvest (high-grading); uncontrolled grazing
T3B	Tillage; conservation cropping system
T4B	Clearing ; tillage; conservation cropping system
T3A, T5B	Tree planting; long-term succession (+30-50 years); forest stand improvement; access control
T4C	Forest stand improvement; access control
R2A	Forest stand improvement; long term succession (+10-20 years)

Figure 7. F119XY015AR, Loamy wet Bottomland

### State 1 Reference

The historical reference state for this ecological site was old growth bottomland forest. Historically, Loamy

Floodplain Step Forests in the Ouachita Mountains were on relatively stable floodplain positions that flooded approximately once every 3 to 5 years. This state was dominated by a variety of mesic species such as sugar maple, northern red oak, and bitternut hickory. Maximum tree age was likely 150 to 200 years. The understory was complex, with multiple layers of shade-tolerant species. A highly diverse ground flora was also present.

## Other references

NatureServe. 2015. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia.  
Available <http://explorer.natureserve.org>. (Accessed: October 27, 2015).

Official Soil Survey, USDA-NRCS: <https://soilseries.sc.egov.usda.gov/osdname.asp>

Landfire: <http://www.landfire.gov> 2015 data

United States Department of Agriculture Handbook 296: Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin

NASIS database 2016 NASIS Client Version Number 6.4.1 and database model 7.2.5

## Contributors

Kevin Godsey

## Acknowledgments

Doug Wallace and Fred Young at Missouri NRCS State office, personal communication and sharing of state and transition models.

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

### 1. Number and extent of rills:

---

### 2. Presence of water flow patterns:

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

---

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

---

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**

---

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

---

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**

---