

Ecological site F144AY017NH Well Drained Lake Plain

Last updated: 5/01/2019 Accessed: 05/18/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Ecological site concept

This site consists of deep, well-drained soils formed in silty, clayey lacustrine or marine deposits situated on nearly level plains. Representative soil is Suffield.

The reference community is the "mesic Appalachian oak-hickory forest" that contains a broad diversity of trees dominated by oaks (red, black, and white), red maple, shagbark hickory, white ash, and white pine with a sparse shrub layer of mapleleaf viburnum and beaked hazelnut, and with an moderate understory of herbs, such as indian cucumberroot, starflower, Canada mayflower and ferns such as, New York fern and hayscented fern.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) Quercus rubra	
Shrub	(1) Carya ovalis	
Herbaceous	(1) Trientalis borealis	

Physiographic features

Climatic features

Influencing water features

Soil features

This site consists of deep, well-drained soils formed in silty, clayey lacustrine or marine deposits situated on nearly level plains. Representative soil is Suffield.

Ecological dynamics

The reference community is the "mesic Appalachian oak-hickory forest" that contains a broad diversity of trees dominated by oaks (red, black, and white), red maple, shagbark hickory, white ash, and white pine with a sparse shrub layer of mapleleaf viburnum and beaked hazelnut, and with an moderate understory of herbs, such as indian cucumberroot, starflower, Canada mayflower and ferns such as, New York fern and hayscented fern.

State and transition model



144AY017 - Well-drained Lake Plain

Transition	Drivers/practices	
T1-2	Forest mgmt., Disturbance	
T1-3, T2-3	Disturbance/cutting/clearing, Brush removal	
R2-1, R3-1	Restoration & Mgmt, Forest Stand Improvement, Early Successional Habitat Development, Upland Wildlife Mgmt, Invasive spp. Control, Plant establishment	
T3-2	Abandonment, Plant establishment, Forest mgmt.	
CP2.1-2.2	Disturbance, Invasive species establishment	
CP2.2-2.1	Invasive spp. Control, Forest mgmt	
CP1.3-1.2, CP1.2-1.1	Abandonment, succession	
CP3.1-3.2/3.3, CP 3.2- 3.1/3.3 3.3-3.1/3.2	Changing agricultural phases	
CP1.1-1.2/1.3, CP1.2-1.3,	Disturbance, Early Successional Habitat Development	

State 1 Reference State (minimally-managed)

High Floodplain Levee

Community 1.1

Oaks (White, Northern Red, Black) / Hickory species / Mapleleaf Viburnum Forest

Community 1.2 Ruderal Forest/Woodland

Community 1.3 Abandoned Field/Meadow

Pathway CP1.1-2.1 Community 1.1 to 1.2

Disturbance

Pathway CP1.1-1.3 Community 1.1 to 1.3

Disturbance

Pathway CP1.2-1.1 Community 1.2 to 1.1

Abandonment, Sucession

Pathway CP1.2-1.3 Community 1.2 to 1.3

Disturbance

Pathway CP1.3-1.2 Community 1.3 to 1.2

Abandonment, Succession

State 2 Semi-natural State

Floodplain forests altered by disturbance (usually w/invasive plants) or managed floodplain forests

Community 2.1 Managed Trees/Shrubs/Herbs(?)

Community 2.2 Invasive Plants

Pathway CP2.1-2.2 Community 2.1 to 2.2

Disturbance, Invasive species establishment

Pathway CP2.2-2.1 Community 2.2 to 2.1

Invasive spp. Control, Forest mgmt.

State 3 Cultural State

Different phase of intense land use - may be cultivated crops, pasture/hay, or plantations (including nursery crops)

Community 3.1 Cultivated

Community 3.2

Pasture

Community 3.3 Plantation

Pathway CP3.1-3.2 Community 3.1 to 3.2

Changing agricultural phases

Pathway CP3.1-3.3 Community 3.1 to 3.3

Changing agricultural phases

Pathway CP3.2-3.1 Community 3.2 to 3.1

Changing agricultural phases

Pathway CP3.2-3.3 Community 3.2 to 3.3

Changing agricultural phases

Pathway CP3.3-3.1 Community 3.3 to 3.1

Changing agricultural phases

Pathway CP3.3-3.2 Community 3.3 to 3.2

Changing agricultural phases

Transition T1-2 State 1 to 2

altered by human- induced Disturbance or Management

Conservation practices

Tree/Shrub Establishment	
Forest Land Management	
Forest stand improvement for habitat and soil quality	

Transition T1-3 State 1 to 3

Disturbance, clearing, cutting

Conservation practices

Brush Management	
Land Clearing	
Herbaceous Weed Control	

Restoration pathway R2-1 State 2 to 1

Plant removals, plantings, Invasive plant control, successional mgmt., forestry practices Restoration & Mgmt, Forest Stand Improvement, Early Successional Habitat Development, Upland Wildlife Mgmt, Invasive spp. Control, Plant establishment

Conservation practices

Brush Management	
Tree/Shrub Establishment	
Early Successional Habitat Development/Management	
Forest Stand Improvement	
Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems	
Native Plant Community Restoration and Management	
Forest Land Management	
Invasive Plant Species Control	

Transition T2-3 State 2 to 3

Land clearing, cutting

Conservation practices

Brush Management	
Land Clearing	
Herbaceous Weed Control	

Restoration pathway R3-1 State 3 to 1

Plant removals, plantings, Invasive plant control, successional mgmt., forestry practices Restoration & Mgmt, Forest Stand Improvement, Early Successional Habitat Development, Upland Wildlife Mgmt, Invasive spp. Control, Plant establishment

Conservation practices

Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems	
Native Plant Community Restoration and Management	

Transition T3-2 State 3 to 2

Abandonment. Plant establishment, Forest mgmt.

Conservation practices

Tree/Shrub Establishment	
Forest Stand Improvement	
Forest Land Management	

Additional community tables

Other references

REFERENCES

Edinger, G.J., Evans, D.J., Gebauer, S., Howard, T.G., Hunt, D.M., and A.M. Olivero, A.M. (eds.). 2014. Ecological Communities of New York State, Second Edition: A revised and expanded edition of Carol Reschke's Ecological Communities of New York State. New York Natural Heritage Program, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY.

Enser, R., Gregg, D., Sparks, C., August, P., Jordan, P., Coit, J., Raithel, C., Tefft, B., Payton, B., Brown, C. and LaBash, C., 2011. Rhode Island ecological communities classification. Rhode Island Natural History Survey, Kingston, RI.

Enser, R. and Lundgren, J.A., 2006. Natural communities of Rhode Island. Rhode Island Natural History Survey, Kingston (RI).

Gawler, S.C. and Cutko, A., 2010. Natural landscapes of Maine: a guide to natural communities and ecosystems. Maine Natural Areas Program, Department of Conservation.

Metzler, K.J. and Barrett, J.P., 2006. The Vegetation of Connecticut, a Preliminary Classification. Department of Environmental Protection, State Geological and Natural History Survey of Connecticut.

Sperduto, D.D., & Nichols, W.F. 2011. Natural Communities of New Hampshire, Second Ed. NH Natural Heritage Bureau, Concord, NH. Publ. UNH Cooperative Extension.

Swain, P.C. and Kearsley, J.B., 2001. Classification of the natural communities of Massachusetts. Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program, Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Thompson, E.H. and Sorenson, E.R., 2000. Wetland, woodland, wildland. Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife and The Nature Conservancy. Publ. University Press of New England.

Approval

Nels Barrett, 5/01/2019

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills:
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns:
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):
- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values):
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):
- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction):
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
- 17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: