

Ecological site F144BY220ME

Semi-acidic Peat Wetland Complex

Last updated: 6/29/2020
Accessed: 05/18/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

This major land resource area (MLRA) is characterized by plateaus, plains, and mountains. The climate is generally cool and humid with an average annual precipitation of 34 to 62 inches (865 to 1,575 millimeters). The average annual air temperature is typically 40 to 48 degrees F (4 to 9 degrees C). The freeze-free period generally is 130 to 200 days, but it ranges from 110 days in the higher mountains to 240 days in some areas along the Atlantic coast. The soils in this region are dominantly Entisols, Spodosols, and Inceptisols. They commonly have a fragipan. The dominant suborders are Ochrepts, Orthods, Aquepts, Fluvents, and Saprists. The soils in the region dominantly have a frigid soil temperature regime with some cryic areas at higher elevation, a udic soil moisture regime, and mixed mineralogy. Most of the land is forested, and 98 percent is privately owned. Significant amounts of forest products are produced including lumber, pulpwood, Christmas trees, and maple syrup. Principal agricultural crops include forage and grains for dairy cattle, potatoes, apples, and blueberries. Wildlife habitat and recreation are important land uses. Stoniness, steep slopes, and poor drainage limit the use of many of the soils.

Classification relationships

NRCS:

Land Resource Region: R—Northeastern Forage and Forest Region

MLRA: 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part MLRA resources

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

Ecological site concept

This site occurs in flat, low-lying areas characterized by very poorly-drained, semi-acidic peat soils and bog vegetation. Soil pH is typically between 4.5 and 6.0 throughout, allowing for more overall species diversity than true acid bogs, but also lacking many true acid bog indicator species. It is dominated by sphagnum moss and heath shrubs, and supports other common bog species such as cotton grass in lower quantities. This site may also support low cover of black spruce and larch trees in some areas.

This ecological site is resistant to major disturbances except for small scale hydrologic alterations that may create small patches of drained or ponded peatland. This ecological resistance can be attributed to the ability of these bogs to respond to large fluctuations in water, as well as general resistance to fire, insects, disease, construction, land management, etc. Further study is needed to identify alternative states for this site.

Associated sites

F144BY230ME	<p>Acidic Peat Wetland Complex</p> <p>The Semi-acidic Peat Wetland Complex may grade into the Acidic Peat Wetland Complex, usually with the latter being toward the center of the bog and grading outward to be less acidic toward the surrounding forest.</p>
-------------	---

Similar sites

F144BY230ME	<p>Acidic Peat Wetland Complex</p> <p>The Acidic Peat Wetland Complex has pH less than 4.5 throughout the profile, compared to pH greater than 4.5 in at least part of the profile for Semi-acidic Peat Wetlands. The lower pH results in the most acidic bog indicator plants, such as pitcher plants and sundews.</p>
F144BY210ME	<p>Marsh Wetland Complex</p> <p>The Marsh Wetland Complex occurs in a similar landscape position, but has more nutrient and oxygen-rich soil water conditions, resulting in the decomposition of organic matter into muck, rather than the peat accumulation characteristic of the Semi-acidic Peat Wetland Complex</p>

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs in flat, wet, low-lying areas where large amounts of water collect throughout the growing season. Water ponds on the surface for significant periods of time, and the lack of soil oxygen and nutrients impede the decomposition of organic matter over time.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Bog (2) Marsh (3) Swamp
Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to very brief (4 to 48 hours)
Flooding frequency	None to rare
Ponding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days)
Ponding frequency	None to frequent
Elevation	0–640 m
Slope	0–2%
Water table depth	0–15 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The climate is humid and temperate. It is characterized by warm summers and cold winters. The average first frost around October 1st and the last freeze of the season occurs around April 23rd. Temperature extremes in the summer can reach as high as 100 degrees F and as low as -33 degrees F in the winter. The average relative humidity is 71 percent. The sun shines on average 57 percent of the time. Bad storm events can come in from the northeast, thus the term “nor’easter”. Winter blizzards can result in several feet of snow, while summer hurricane events can produce 2-3 inches of rain per hour. Annual rainfall occurs quite evenly over the entire year with August being the driest month during the growing season from April through September. Rainfall during this period generally falls during thunderstorms, and fairly large amounts of rain may fall in a short time. Eighty-eight percent of the snowfall occurs from December through March and average total snowfall is 64 inches per year. This makes for a “mud season” from March through April where runoff is high and ponding may occur because surface water runoff

is very slow. The original data used in developing the table below was obtained from the USDA-NRCS National Water & Climate Center climate information database. All the climate station monthly averages for maximum and minimum temperature and precipitation were then added together and averaged to make this table. The precipitation and temperature data come from the years 1981 through 2010.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	117-140 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	144-170 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	1,067-1,219 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	98-146 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	133-180 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	1,016-1,372 mm
Frost-free period (average)	126 days
Freeze-free period (average)	159 days
Precipitation total (average)	1,168 mm




Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range




Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range




Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature




Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern




Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) BELFAST [USC00170480], Belfast, ME
- (2) ACADIA NP [USC00170100], Bar Harbor, ME
- (3) CORINNA [USC00171628], Corinna, ME

- (4) DOVER-FOXCROFT WWTP [USC00171975], Dover Foxcroft, ME
- (5) FARMINGTON [USC00172765], Farmington, ME
- (6) GARDINER [USC00173046], Gardiner, ME
- (7) JONESBORO [USC00174183], Addison, ME
- (8) LEWISTON [USC00174566], Auburn, ME
- (9) MADISON [USC00174927], Anson, ME
- (10) NEWCASTLE [USC00175675], Newcastle, ME
- (11) ORONO [USC00176430], Old Town, ME
- (12) WATERVILLE TRTMT PLT [USC00179151], Waterville, ME
- (13) WEST ROCKPORT 1 NNW [USC00179593], Rockport, ME
- (14) AUGUSTA STATE AP [USW00014605], Augusta, ME
- (15) BANGOR INTL AP [USW00014606], Bangor, ME
- (16) PORTLAND INTL JETPORT [USW00014764], Portland, ME

Influencing water features

Large amounts of water saturate the soils of this site throughout much of the year, limiting tree growth and favoring sphagnum moss, heath shrubs, and other common bog vegetation.

Wetland description

Wetland Description: Cowardin

System: Palustrine

Subsystem: N/A

Class: Unknown

Soil features

Soils of this site are very poorly-drained peat. These soils are very deep, usually with much greater than 40 inches of organic deposits over mineral soil. They act as a sponge with exceedingly high water-holding capacity. Soil pH is expected to be between 4.5 and 6.0, though these peat conditions may exist outside this range. The soils of this site are characterized by not only their semi-acidic pH, but also by the lack of dissolved oxygen in the water source, which inhibits organic matter decomposition, resulting in peat accumulation.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Organic material
Surface texture	(1) Mucky peat (2) Muck (3) Peat
Drainage class	Very poorly drained to poorly drained
Soil depth	0–152 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0%
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	10.92–56.9 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (Depth not specified)	0%
Electrical conductivity (Depth not specified)	0 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (Depth not specified)	0
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	3.5–6.5

Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0%

Ecological dynamics

The vegetation of this site is dominated by sphagnum moss and heath shrubs. It also supports other common bog species such as cotton grass in lower quantities. This site may sometimes support low cover of black spruce and larch trees, though the reason for tree presence or absence is poorly-understood.

This ecological site is resistant to major disturbances except for small scale hydrologic alterations that may create small patches of drained or ponded peatland (such as near a culvert). This ecological resistance can be attributed to the ability of these bogs to respond to large fluctuations in water, as the peat acts like a sponge, expanding and contracting with the water supply. There is also a general resistance to fire, insects, disease, construction, land management, etc. due to the wet nature and particular species on the site. Further study is needed to identify alternative states for this site.

Relationship to Other Classification Systems

This site includes the following state natural heritage program types:

- Medium and Rich Fen community types (Sperduto and Nichols 2004)
- Low sedge Fen (Gawler and Cutko 2010)
- Sedge heath Fen (Gawler and Cutko 2010)
- Pocket Swamp (Gawler and Cutko 2010)
- Red Maple fen (Gawler and Cutko 2010)
- Intermediate Fen (Thompson and Sorenson 2000)
- Rich Fen (Thompson and Sorenson 2000)

State and transition model

F144BY220ME – Semi-acidic Peat Wetland Complex

1. Reference State/Current Potential

1.1 Sphagnum and Heath Shrubs dominant

Sphagnum moss cover greater than 95%. Heath shrubs dominate the vascular plants, with cinnamon fern, cotton grass, three-seeded sedge and a diversity of other species possible.

State 1

Reference State/Current Potential

Community 1.1

Peatland with Shrubs

Sphagnum moss dominates and covers greater than 95%. Heath shrubs dominate the vascular plants, with occasional cinnamon fern, three-sided sedge and a diversity of other species.

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

Site Development and Testing Plan

Future work is needed, as described in a project plan, to validate the information presented in this provisional ecological site description. Future work includes field sampling, data collection and analysis by qualified vegetation ecologists and soil scientists. As warranted, annual reviews of the project plan can be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD are necessary to approve a final document.

Other references

Gawler, S. and A. Cutko. 2010. Natural Landscapes of Maine. A Guide to Natural Communities and Ecosystems. Maine Natural Areas Program, Maine Department of Conservation, Augusta, ME.

Johanson, J. K., Butler, N. R. and C. Bickford. 2016. Classifying Northern New England Landscapes for Improved Conservation. Rangelands 38:6.

Sperduto, D.D. and W.F. Nichols. 2004. Natural Communities of New Hampshire. New Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau and The Nature Conservancy.

Thompson, E. H., and E. R. Sorenson. 2000. Wetland, woodland, wildland: A guide to the natural communities of Vermont. The Nature Conservancy and the Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife. University Press of New England, Hanover, NH. 456 pp.

USDA NRCS 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. USDA Handbook 296.

Contributors

Jamin Johanson
Nick Butler
Carl Bickford

Approval

Nels Barrett, 6/29/2020

Acknowledgments

Nels Barrett, Ph.D.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/18/2024
Approved by	Nels Barrett
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

-
5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**
-
6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**
-
7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**
-
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**
-
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**
-
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**
-
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**
-
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant:
- Sub-dominant:
- Other:
- Additional:
-
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
-
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native).** List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-