

# Ecological site F144BY401ME

## Clay Flat

Last updated: 6/29/2020  
Accessed: 05/03/2024

---

### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

This major land resource area (MLRA) is characterized by plateaus, plains, and mountains. The climate is generally cool and humid with an average annual precipitation of 34 to 62 inches (865 to 1,575 millimeters). The average annual air temperature is typically 40 to 48 degrees F (4 to 9 degrees C). The freeze-free period generally is 130 to 200 days, but it ranges from 110 days in the higher mountains to 240 days in some areas along the Atlantic coast. The soils in this region are dominantly Entisols, Spodosols, and Inceptisols. They commonly have a fragipan. The dominant suborders are Ochrepts, Orthods, Aquepts, Fluvents, and Saprists. The soils in the region dominantly have a frigid soil temperature regime with some cryic areas at higher elevation, a udic soil moisture regime, and mixed mineralogy. Most of the land is forested, and 98 percent is privately owned. Significant amounts of forest products are produced including lumber, pulpwood, Christmas trees, and maple syrup. Principal agricultural crops include forage and grains for dairy cattle, potatoes, apples, and blueberries. Wildlife habitat and recreation are important land uses. Stoniness, steep slopes, and poor drainage limit the use of many of the soils.

### Classification relationships

NRCS:

Land Resource Region: R—Northeastern Forage and Forest Region

MLRA: 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part MLRA resources

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

### Ecological site concept

This ecological site is found on the frigid, poorly- and somewhat poorly- drained, non-acidic, glaciomarine or glaciolacustrine deposits in coastal and central interior flat lowland terraces within the major river valleys, or on valley floors behind glacial moraines. This site does not flood or pond, but occurs adjacent to seasonally ponded, very poorly drained sites. The seasonally high “perched” water table is within 18 inches of the surface from October through June. The perched water table with moderate and moderately slow permeability and linear slope shape, typically provides for up to 4 inches of organic matter to accumulate on the surface in forested areas. Some of these areas may have up to eight inches of accumulated organic matter. Non-forested areas typically do not have recognizable organic horizons at the surface due to surface mixing during clearing for agricultural practices.

The reference state is considered a Palustrine forested wetland in the Cowardin Wetland Classification System (Cowardin et al. 1979) and a Mineral Soil Flat in the Hydrogeomorphic (HGM) Wetland Classification System (Brinson, M.M. 1993). At some higher slopes in its range, it may correlate more closely to a slope wetland in the HGM classification system. Due to some micro-relief, pit and mound mostly, this site may occur as a major component of associations and complexes of wetter and drier soils on the landscape, but extensive areas are in consociations as well. The reference plant community is dominantly hydrophytic vegetation; it is transitional to the

vegetation of the very poorly drained positions below it and the upland positions above it. Large white pine and quaking aspen, common on abandoned farmland in river valleys, are often found on this site and are upland species considered relics of a previously cleared state.

### Associated sites

F144BY402ME	<b>Clay Hills</b> The somewhat poorly- and poorly-drained Clay Flat site often occurs downslope of the moderately well- and well-drained Clay Hills site
F144BY304ME	<b>Wet Clay Flat</b> The very poorly- and poorly-drained Wet Clay Flat site may occur downslope of the poorly- and somewhat poorly- Clay Flat site

### Similar sites

F144BY304ME	<b>Wet Clay Flat</b> The very poorly- and poorly-drained Wet Clay Flat site may occur downslope of the poorly- and somewhat poorly- Clay Flat site.
F144BY402ME	<b>Clay Hills</b> The somewhat poorly- and poorly-drained Clay Flat site often occurs downslope of the moderately well- and well-drained Clay Hills site

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

### Physiographic features

This site is typically located on forested flats in MLRA 144B in Maine. Slope is typically from 0 to 8 percent, with some sites ranging up to a high of 15 percent slope, and depth to a seasonal high water table is usually less than 18 inches. These soils are not subject to flooding or ponding, but can occur adjacent to seasonally ponded, very poorly drained sites. These sites are found from 0 to 1500 feet in elevation.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

Landforms	(1) Coastal plain (2) Lake plain (3) Marine terrace
Runoff class	Low to medium
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	0–1,500 ft
Slope	0–8%
Water table depth	0–18 in
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor


### Climatic features

The climate is humid and temperate. It is characterized by warm summers and cold winters. The average first frost around October 1st and the last freeze of the season occurs around April 23rd. Temperature extremes in the summer can reach as high as 100 degrees F and as low as -33 degrees F in the winter. The average relative humidity is 71 percent. The sun shines on average 57 percent of the time. Bad storm events can come in from the northeast, thus the term “nor’easter”. Winter blizzards can result in several feet of snow, while summer hurricane


events can produce 2-3 inches of rain per hour. Annual rainfall occurs quite evenly over the entire year with August being the driest month during the growing season from April through September. Rainfall during this period generally falls during thunderstorms, and fairly large amounts of rain may fall in a short time. Eighty-eight percent of the snowfall occurs from December through March and average total snowfall is 64 inches per year. This makes for a “mud season” from March through April where runoff is high and ponding may occur because surface water runoff is very slow. The original data used in developing the table below was obtained from the USDA-NRCS National Water & Climate Center climate information database. All the climate station monthly averages for maximum and minimum temperature and precipitation were then added together and averaged to make this table. The precipitation and temperature data come from the years 1981 through 2010.

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**


Frost-free period (characteristic range)	117-140 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	144-170 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	42-48 in
Frost-free period (actual range)	98-146 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	133-180 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	40-54 in
Frost-free period (average)	126 days
Freeze-free period (average)	159 days
Precipitation total (average)	46 in




**Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range**




**Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range**




**Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range**



**Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature**



**Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern**



**Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern**

### Climate stations used

- (1) BELFAST [USC00170480], Belfast, ME
- (2) ACADIA NP [USC00170100], Bar Harbor, ME
- (3) CORINNA [USC00171628], Corinna, ME

- (4) DOVER-FOXCROFT WWTP [USC00171975], Dover Foxcroft, ME
- (5) FARMINGTON [USC00172765], Farmington, ME
- (6) GARDINER [USC00173046], Gardiner, ME
- (7) JONESBORO [USC00174183], Addison, ME
- (8) LEWISTON [USC00174566], Auburn, ME
- (9) MADISON [USC00174927], Anson, ME
- (10) NEWCASTLE [USC00175675], Newcastle, ME
- (11) ORONO [USC00176430], Old Town, ME
- (12) WATERVILLE TRTMT PLT [USC00179151], Waterville, ME
- (13) WEST ROCKPORT 1 NNW [USC00179593], Rockport, ME
- (14) AUGUSTA STATE AP [USW00014605], Augusta, ME
- (15) BANGOR INTL AP [USW00014606], Bangor, ME
- (16) PORTLAND INTL JETPORT [USW00014764], Portland, ME

## Influencing water features

This site is influenced mainly by seasonal perched subsurface water. The dominant source of water is precipitation and inflow from surrounding landscapes. Water drains from these wetlands through overland flow, evapotranspiration, and seepage to ground water. This site is considered a “wetland” by USDA National Food Security Act and Corps of Engineers wetland delineation standards, as it meets all the hydric (seasonally water-saturated) soil, hydric plants, and wetland hydrology criteria. This site is typically considered a “mineral soil flat” wetland under the Hydrogeomorphic (HGM) Wetland Classification System, but may be a "slope" wetland on higher slopes in its range.

## Wetland description

Wetland Description - Cowardin Classification

System: Palustrine

Subsystem: N/A

Class: Forested wetland

## Soil features

The soils of this site are characterized by high percentages of silt and clay and low or non-existent volumes of rock fragments, which are confined to the A, Eg, and Bg soil profile or on the surface. The soils are poorly drained, and forested sites have thin (less than 20 cm thick) surfaces of highly decomposed organic matter derived primarily from woody fragments. Parent material is silty and clayey glaciomarine, or glaciolacustrine deposits.

Though the soil is commonly free of rock fragments, a few pedons contain up to 3 percent gravel. Stones are usually absent from the surface, but in some areas stone cover can be as high as 3 percent.

The soils of this site are olive gray, very deep silt loams, or silty clay loams, with silty clay loam, silty clay, or clay in the deeper horizons. The minimum relative value of clay in the surface mineral horizon is 25 percent and the maximum is 28 percent. The minimum relative value of clay in the top 20 inches is 25 percent and the maximum is 40 percent. Stone fragments sometime occur in the profile in the A, Eg, and Bg horizons. Bedrock sometimes occurs in the lower part of the soil profile, or as outcrops on the soil surface, but not to the extent that they impede the production of native vegetation. On unaltered sites, pit and mound topography may be present, with drier or wetter soils present as minor components.

The poor drainage class and the silty and clayey soil textures have the most influence on plant community composition.

The soils of this site are characterized by high percentages of silt and clay and low or non-existent volumes of rock fragments, which are confined to the A, Eg, and Bg soil profile or on the surface. The soils are poorly drained, and forested sites have thin (less than 20 cm thick) surfaces of highly decomposed organic matter derived primarily from woody fragments. Parent material is silty and clayey glaciomarine, or glaciolacustrine deposits.

Though the soil is commonly free of rock fragments, a few pedons contain up to 3 percent gravel. Stones are usually absent from the surface, but in some areas stone cover can be as high as 3 percent.

The soils of this site are olive gray, very deep silt loams, or silty clay loams, with silty clay loam, silty clay, or clay in the deeper horizons. The minimum relative value of clay in the surface mineral horizon is 25 percent and the maximum is 28 percent. The minimum relative value of clay in the top 20 inches is 25 percent and the maximum is 40 percent. Stone fragments sometime occur in the profile in the A, Eg, and Bg horizons. Bedrock sometimes

occurs in the lower part of the soil profile, or as outcrops on the soil surface, but not to the extent that they impede the production of native vegetation. On unaltered sites, pit and mound topography may be present, with drier or wetter soils present as minor components.

The poor drainage class and the silty and clayey soil textures have the most influence on plant community composition.

The major soil component that represents the soil features for this ecological site description is Scantic silt loam, a Fine, illitic, nonacid, frigid Typic Epiaquepts.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

Parent material	(1) Glaciomarine deposits–metasedimentary rock (2) Glaciolacustrine deposits–metasedimentary rock
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Fine sandy loam
Drainage class	Poorly drained
Soil depth	0–60 in
Surface fragment cover ≤3"	0%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–3%
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	4.5–10.8 in
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	4.5–6.5
Subsurface fragment volume ≤3" (Depth not specified)	0–3%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0%

## Ecological dynamics

The information contained in the state-and-transition model (STM) and the ecological site description were developed using archeological and historical data, professional experience, and scientific studies. The information presented is representative of a very complex set of plant communities. Not all scenarios or plants are included. Key indicator plants, animals, and ecological processes are described to help guide land management decisions.

The historic reference plant community phase of this site is perceived to be an uneven-aged mixing of temperate deciduous and northern boreal species, called the “Acadian Forest.” This forest consisted of long-lived, shade-tolerant species with small gaps and relatively infrequent severe disturbances. Due to the multiple (8) biophysical regions involved and the history of land use and management, which involved over 95 percent of the MLRA land area, a least disturbed, mature, plant community phase will be considered the reference state for this ecological site description. The least disturbed, mature community phase is a mixed conifer-hardwood forest that has grown up from cleared open fields and pastures that were abandoned approximately 70 or more years ago.

Before European settlement, which occurred in the early 1600s, the plant community phase on this site consisted of an uneven-aged mixing of southern temperate deciduous and northern boreal species. This forest consisted of long-lived, shade-tolerant species with small gaps and relatively infrequent severe disturbances, from 0.6 to 1.0 percent of the land area per year. Three forested areas of similar composition occurred at the broad scale with even more variation at the local scale within each area. In the southern area of the state, forests contained more oak and pine. In the central area of the state, the dominant forest type was mixed hardwoods with some conifers. In the coastal areas, there were higher numbers of spruce in forest stands (Barton et al. 2012). Dominant tree species were hemlock and spruce with a scattering of pines and cedar with some ash and oak according to early settlement survey records (Barton et al. 2012). In forested wetlands along the mid- and eastern coast, dominant tree species included spruce, birches, and alder with smaller amounts of pine, fir, maple, oak, beech, and hemlock, which is similar to present-day vegetation composition (Barton et al. 2012). These forest stands were not especially filled

with old trees either, being almost entirely less than 400 years old, and generally between 104 to 203 years old in the oldest stands.

Presettlement natural disturbances were usually small, single-tree mortality events caused by wind or insect damage, rather than stand replacing events. Stand replacing events include extensive fires on average every 1,461 years and major windstorms, such as hurricanes, on average every 3,289 years. Extensive insect outbreaks, such as the spruce budworm infestation, were recorded as occurring every 60 to 70 years (Barton et al. 2012). During present day times, these natural disturbances still occur within these intervals of time.

There appears to be only one plant community phase in the reference state. Because of the continual harvesting of the forest and the composition of the data collected from representative sites, it was not possible to differentiate between a possible more mature and a younger phase. The reference plant community phase has many of the same attributes, including species composition and structure, as the historic reference plant community phase. The site has a dense canopy cover, 85 to 99 percent, of a stratified mixture of even-aged conifer and northern hardwood tree species. Large eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus*) or quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) or a mixture of both, form a super-canopy over other co-dominant or intermediate softwood and hardwood species which form the main canopy.

Even though white pine and quaking aspen are upland (Facultative Upland wetland status or FACU), shade-intolerant species, they have taken advantage of wetter cleared areas that provide drier spots where they can out-compete other species for light and space. Thus, they are a relic of a previously cleared state. The actual reference state can be described predominantly as a mixture of either spruce-fir-red maple in the eastern part or red maple-hemlock in more southern parts of the MLRA. Hemlock and red spruce occurred on drier spots in plots as they both have a wetland status of FACU, similar to white pine and quaking aspen.

The understory is very sparse due to the dense overstory cover except for very small open areas, less than 0.1 of an acre, where a wide variety of forbs, grasses, sedges, ferns, shrubs, and tree regeneration grow. Under the dense overstory, only small, scattered shade-tolerant and moisture-loving plants grow, especially mosses, liverworts, and hornworts. In addition, trees have several different types of lichen growing on them, including Lungwort (*Lobaria pulmonaria*), which occur on older hardwood trees. Tall shrubs are virtually non-existent in this dense, shady, understory.

White pine and quaking aspen regeneration is conspicuously absent from the understory because of their shade intolerance.

Natural disturbances include ice and windstorms, and insect infestations that cause small gaps in the canopy. These small open areas in the forest provide an abundance of small herbs, grasses, sedges, and shrubs as well as tree regeneration for a brief period of time. Many species of medium and small-sized mammals, birds, and insects may have an influence on the plant community composition in terms of pollination, herbivory, seed dispersal, and creation of local disturbance patches, all of which contribute to plant species diversity.

Human disturbances include timber harvesting and land clearing. Harvesting of this reference state has been continuous over the years since European settlement, with most harvesting occurring in the winter months due to the poorly drained nature of the soil. Spruce and pine were the desirable species to harvest, mainly for timber up until about 10 years ago. Presently, all types of trees, including standing dead trees, are harvested for their best use depending on their size and grade. Best use includes timber, pulp, cordwood, firewood, and biomass. A typical harvested alternative state consists of young red maple and gray birch with some fir, hemlock, poplar, and ash. Any larger trees remaining are of poor grade and some younger looking trees are actually older suppressed trees that may not respond to release.

Some sites in agricultural areas have been cleared and maintained as pasture, hayland, and cropland. Small areas are cleared for silage corn or other row crops in order to "square off the field," as part of a larger cleared upland cropped field. A cropland state may be drained or undrained. Larger cleared areas are used mainly for hay and pasture. In these hay fields, harvest is delayed or not completed if wet weather prevents access and pastures can become quite compacted from livestock.

Timber harvest areas and/or cleared areas may become infested with invasive plants such as European buckthorn in the overstory and bush honeysuckle and Japanese barberry in the understory.


A state-and-transition model diagram for the Marine Terrace Flat Ecological Site (F144BY001ME) follows this narrative. Thorough descriptions of each state, transition, plant community, and pathway follow the model. Experts base this model on available experimental research, field observations, professional consensus, and interpretations. It is likely to change as knowledge increases.

Plant communities will differ across the MLRA because of the naturally occurring variability in local weather events, small inclusions of other soils, and microrelief. The reference plant community is not necessarily the management goal. The biological processes on this site are complex. Therefore, representative values are presented in a land management context. The species lists are representative and are not botanical descriptions of all species occurring, or potentially occurring, on this site. They are not intended to cover every situation or the full range of conditions, species, and responses for the site.

The following diagram suggests some pathways that the vegetation on this site might take. There may be other states not shown on the diagram. This information is intended to show what might happen in a given set of circumstances. It does not mean that this would happen the same way in every instance. Local professional guidance should always be sought before pursuing a treatment scenario.

### **State and transition model**

# F144BY401ME – Clay Flat



## State 1 Reference State/Current Potential

### Community 1.1 Conifer-dominated Mixedwood Forest

Softwoods predominate, typically white pine, red spruce, and larch, with some hardwoods present

### Community 1.2 Herbaceous Phase

Wild raspberry, ferns, and other herbs colonize the open land

### Community 1.3 Doghair Forest

Spruce and balsam fir saplings growing close together with sparse amounts of red maple and other hardwoods.

**Community 1.4**  
**Spruce-fir Forest**

50-80 year old stand of spruce and balsam fir, sphagnum understory

**Pathway 1.1a**  
**Community 1.1 to 1.2**

Logging or blowdown opens space and light in large or small patch

**Conservation practices**

Early Successional Habitat Development/Management
Forest Stand Improvement

**Pathway 1.2a**  
**Community 1.2 to 1.3**

Time, vegetation development

**Pathway 1.3b**  
**Community 1.3 to 1.2**

Logging or blowdown opens space and light in large or small patch

**Pathway 1.3a**  
**Community 1.3 to 1.4**

Time, vegetation development

**Pathway 1.4a**  
**Community 1.4 to 1.1**

Time, self-thinning (balsam fir and other pioneer tree species die out), vegetation development

**Pathway 1.4b**  
**Community 1.4 to 1.2**

selective harvest

**Conservation practices**

Forest Land Management
------------------------

**Pathway 1.4b**  
**Community 1.4 to 1.3**

Logging or blowdown opens space and light in large or small patch

**Conservation practices**

Forest Land Management
------------------------

**State 2**  
**Grass/Hay Land**

**Community 2.1**  
**Pasture or Hayland**

Cleared and cultivated fields of mostly perennial herbaceous species.

**State 3**  
**Cropland**

**Community 3.1**  
**Annual or Perennial Crops**

Cleared and cultivated fields of mostly perennial herbaceous plants

**Transition T1a**  
**State 1 to 2**

Tree and stump removal, pasture cultivation

**Conservation practices**

Clearing and Snagging
Land Clearing

**Transition T1b**  
**State 1 to 3**

Clearing, tree harvest

**Conservation practices**

Clearing and Snagging
Land Clearing
Forest Land Management

**Restoration pathway R2a**  
**State 2 to 1**

Time abandonment, vegetation development

**Conservation practices**

Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
------------------------------------

**Restoration pathway R3a**  
**State 3 to 1**

Time, abandonment, vegetation development

**Conservation practices**

Forest Land Management
------------------------

## **Additional community tables**

### **Inventory data references**

#### Site Development and Testing Plan

Future work is needed, as described in a project plan, to validate the information presented in this provisional ecological site description. Future work includes field sampling, data collection and analysis by qualified vegetation ecologists and soil scientists. As warranted, annual reviews of the project plan can be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD are necessary to approve a final document.

### **Other references**

- Barton, Andrew M., et.al. 2012. *The Changing nature of the Maine woods*. University of New Hampshire Press. Durham, NH.
2. Brinson, M.M. 1993. *A hydrogeomorphic classification for wetlands*, Technical Report WRP-DE-4. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, MS.
  3. Bryan, Robert R. April 2004. *Focus species forestry: A guide to integrating timber and biodiversity management in Maine*. Working draft. Maine Audubon. Falmouth, ME.
  4. Calhoun, Aram. 1999. *Maine citizen's guide to locating and documenting vernal pools*, revised edition. Maine Audubon Society. Falmouth, ME.
  5. Cameron, A. W. 1958. *Mammals of the islands in the Gulf of St. Lawrence*. National Museum of Canada Bulletin. No. 154: 1-165.
  6. Cowardin, L. M., et al. United States, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1979. *FWS/OBS-79/31. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats in the United States*, (FGDC-STD-004).
  7. DeGraaf and Yamasaki. 2000. *New England wildlife: Habitat, natural history and distribution*. Univ. Press of New England.
  8. DeGraaf, et. al. 2007. *Technical guide to forest Wildlife habitat management in New England*. University of Vermont Press.
  9. Eschtruth, E.C. and J.J. Battles. 2008. *Acceleration of exotic plant invasion in a forested ecosystem by a generalist herbivore*. *Conservation Biology* 23: 388-399.
  10. Flatebo, Gro, C.R. Foss, and S.K. Pelletier. 1999. *Biodiversity in the forests of Maine: Guidelines for land management*. UCME Bulletin #7147. University of Maine Cooperative Extension. Orono, ME.
  11. Gawler, Susan and Andy Cutko. 2010. *Natural landscapes of Maine: A guide to natural communities and ecosystems*. Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry, Maine Natural Areas Program, Augusta, ME.
  12. Haines, Arthur. 2011. *New England Wildflower Society's flora novae angliae, A manual for the identification of native and naturalized higher vascular plants of New England*. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
  13. Hobson, Scott S., J.S. Barclay, and S.H. Broderick. 1993. *Enhancing wildlife habitats: A practical guide for forest landowners*. Northeast Forest Resources Extension Council Series. NRAES-64. Northeast Regional Agricultural Engineering Service, Cooperative Extension. Ithaca, NY.
  14. Johnston, D. W. 1970. *High density of birds breeding in a modified deciduous forest*. *Wilson Bulletin*. 82: 79-82.
  15. Kelly, G. M. 1977. *Fisher (Marfes pennanti). Biology in the White Mountains National Forest and adjacent areas*. PhD dissertation. University of Massachusetts. Amherst, MA.

16. Kirkland, G. L., Jr. 1978. Initial responses of small mammals to clearcutting of Pennsylvania hardwood forests. *Proceedings Pennsylvania Academy of Science*. 52(1): 21-23.
17. Llewellyn, L. M.; Dale, F. H. 1964. Notes on the ecology of the opossum in Maryland. *Journal of Mammalogy*. 45(1): 113-122.
18. Lovejoy, D. A. 1975. The effect of logging on small mammal populations in New England northern hardwoods. *University of Connecticut Occasional Papers. Biological Science Series*. 2(17): 269-291.
19. Maine Forest Service. 2008. *Forest trees of Maine, Centennial Edition*. Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. Augusta, ME.
20. Maine Forest Service. January 11, 2013. 2011 Wood processor report, including imports and export information. Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. Augusta, ME.
21. Maine Forest Service. November 15, 2012. 2011 Stumpage prices by Maine county/unit. Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. Augusta, ME.
22. Maine Forest Service. January 7, 2013. 2011 Silvicultural activities report, including annual report on clearcutting and precommercial activities. Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry. Augusta, ME.
23. Martin, Alexander C., H.S. Zim, and A.L. Nelson. 1951. *American wildlife & plants: A guide to wildlife food habits. The use of trees, shrubs, weeds, and herbs by birds and mammals of the United States*. Dover Publications. New York, NY.
24. McShea, W.J. 1997. *The science of overabundance: Deer ecology and population management*. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press. pp. 201–223, 249–279. ISBN 1-58834-062-7.
25. Minnesota Dept. of Natural Resources. 2007. *A handbook for collecting vegetation plot data in Minnesota: The relevé method*. Minnesota County Biological Survey, Minnesota Natural Heritage and Nongame Research Program, and the Ecological Land Classification Program. Biological Report 92. St. Paul, Minnesota.
26. Mitchell, J. C., A. R. Breisch, and K. A. Buhlmann. 2006. *Habitat management guidelines for amphibians and reptiles of the Northeastern United States*. Partners in Amphibian and Reptile Conservation, Technical Publication HMG-3. Montgomery, Alabama.
27. NatureServe. 2003. *Ecological systems of the United States: A working classification of U.S. terrestrial systems*.
28. Short, Henry L., et. al. 1997. *New England wildlife: A model for ecosystem management – ECOSEARCH (Version 1)*. GTR-NE-283. USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. Delaware, OH.
29. Smith, David M. 1962. *The practice of silviculture*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, NY.
30. Thompson, Elizabeth H. and Eric R. Sorenson. 2000. *Wetland, woodland, wildland: A guide to the natural communities of Vermont*. Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Nature Conservancy. University Press of New England, Hanover, NH.
31. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. January 1987. *Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual*. Wetlands Research Program Technical Report Y-87-1 (<http://el.erdc.usace.army.mil/elpubs/pdf/wlman87.pdf>). Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, MS.
32. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. December 2010. *Maine's forests 2008*, Resource Bulletin NRS-48.
33. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2008. *Hydrogeomorphic wetland classification system: an overview and modification to better meet the needs of the Natural Resources Conservation Service*. Technical Note No. 190–8–76. Washington, DC.

34. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2013. Map based climate information. National Water and Climate Center (<http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/climate/>, July 2013)
35. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. November 2010. National food security act manual, fifth edition.
36. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1998. National forestry manual.
37. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2000. National forestry handbook.
38. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2013. National soil information system. Accessed July 2013. <http://soils.usda.gov/technical/nasis/>
39. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2013. The PLANTS database. Accessed August 8, 2013. <http://plants.usda.gov/>. National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC 27401-4901 USA.

## Contributors

Sally Butler  
 jamin Johanson  
 Carl Bickford  
 Nick Butler  
 Tony Jenkins  
 Robert Evon  
 Matt Dorman  
 David Wilkinson  
 Greg Granger  
 Lindsey Hodgman  
 Andrew Barton  
 David Roque  
 Andy Cutco  
 Mariko Yamaski

## Approval

Nels Barrett, 6/29/2020

## Acknowledgments

Nels Barrett, Ph.D.

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/03/2024

Approved by	Nels Barrett
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

---

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
- 

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**
- 

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
- 

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**
- 

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-