

Ecological site F144BY402ME

Clay Hills

Last updated: 6/29/2020
 Accessed: 05/04/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

This major land resource area (MLRA) is characterized by plateaus, plains, and mountains. The climate is generally cool and humid with an average annual precipitation of 34 to 62 inches (865 to 1,575 millimeters). The average annual air temperature is typically 40 to 48 degrees F (4 to 9 degrees C). The freeze-free period generally is 130 to 200 days, but it ranges from 110 days in the higher mountains to 240 days in some areas along the Atlantic coast. The soils in this region are dominantly Entisols, Spodosols, and Inceptisols. They commonly have a fragipan. The dominant suborders are Ochrepts, Orthods, Aquepts, Fluvents, and Saprists. The soils in the region dominantly have a frigid soil temperature regime with some cryic areas at higher elevation, a udic soil moisture regime, and mixed mineralogy. Most of the land is forested, and 98 percent is privately owned. Significant amounts of forest products are produced including lumber, pulpwood, Christmas trees, and maple syrup. Principal agricultural crops include forage and grains for dairy cattle, potatoes, apples, and blueberries. Wildlife habitat and recreation are important land uses. Stoniness, steep slopes, and poor drainage limit the use of many of the soils.

Classification relationships

NRCS:

Land Resource Region: R—Northeastern Forage and Forest Region

MLRA: 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part MLRA resources

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

Ecological site concept

This site occurs on the remnants of gently-sloping (0-15%) glacial lake beds, lake plains and terraces. Soils have clay textures and very few rock fragments throughout the profile. These are moderately-well and well drained soils with a seasonally-high water table within 18-36 inches of the soil surface. Tree species are diverse, typically with conifers such as red spruce, larch, and white pine more abundant than hardwoods, which include red maple and grey birch.

Associated sites

| | |
|-------------|--|
| F144BY301ME | <p>Loamy Till Swamp</p> <p>The Loamy Till Swamp site occurs lower in the watershed than the Loamy Flat site. The two sites occur together along a soil drainage gradient from somewhat poorly to poorly- and very poorly-drained.</p> |
| F144BY502ME | <p>Loamy Till Toeslope</p> <p>The Loamy Flat site and Loamy Till Toeslopes site share many of the same soils, but Loamy Flats occur on flatter areas grading into wetlands and produce spruce-fir forests, whereas the Loamy Till Toeslope occurs at the base of slopes and produces semi-rich mixedwood forests.</p> |

| | |
|-------------|--|
| F144BY304ME | <p>Wet Clay Flat</p> <p>The Loamy Flat site occurs on somewhat-poorly and poorly-drained soil complexes that are somewhat drier and have significantly less understory production than the Wet Loamy Flat site.</p> |
|-------------|--|

Similar sites

| | |
|-------------|--|
| F144BY502ME | <p>Loamy Till Toeslope</p> <p>The Loamy Flat site and Loamy Till Toeslopes site share many of the same soils, but Loamy Flats occur on flatter areas grading into wetlands and produce spruce-fir forests, whereas the Loamy Till Toeslope occurs at the base of slopes and produces semi-rich mixedwood forests.</p> |
| F144BY304ME | <p>Wet Clay Flat</p> <p>The Loamy Flat site occurs on somewhat-poorly and poorly-drained soil complexes that are somewhat drier and have significantly less understory production than the Wet Loamy Flat site.</p> |

Table 1. Dominant plant species

| | |
|------------|---------------|
| Tree | Not specified |
| Shrub | Not specified |
| Herbaceous | Not specified |

Physiographic features

This site is found on marine and lake sediments that were deposited during deglaciation when much of coastal Maine was submerged under the Atlantic Ocean. As the ocean subsided, it left behind clay sediments from which these soils developed. In steeper areas, gullying is a natural process forming an eroded, wavy land surface.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Landforms | (1) Coastal plain (2) Marine terrace (3) Lake plain |
| Flooding frequency | None |
| Ponding duration | Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days) |
| Ponding frequency | None to frequent |
| Elevation | 0–1,500 ft |
| Slope | 0–15% |
| Ponding depth | 0–6 in |
| Water table depth | 18–36 in |
| Aspect | Aspect is not a significant factor |

Climatic features

The climate is humid and temperate. It is characterized by warm summers and cold winters. The average first frost around October 1st and the last freeze of the season occurs around April 23rd. Temperature extremes in the summer can reach as high as 100 degrees F and as low as -33 degrees F in the winter. The average relative humidity is 71 percent. The sun shines on average 57 percent of the time. Bad storm events can come in from the northeast, thus the term “nor’easter”. Winter blizzards can result in several feet of snow, while summer hurricane events can produce 2-3 inches of rain per hour. Annual rainfall occurs quite evenly over the entire year with August being the driest month during the growing season from April through September. Rainfall during this period generally falls during thunderstorms, and fairly large amounts of rain may fall in a short time. Eighty-eight percent of the snowfall occurs from December through March and average total snowfall is 64 inches per year. This makes for a “mud season” from March through April where runoff is high and ponding may occur because surface water runoff is very slow. The original data used in developing the table below was obtained from the USDA-NRCS National Water & Climate Center climate information database. All the climate station monthly averages for maximum and

minimum temperature and precipitation were then added together and averaged to make this table. The precipitation and temperature data come from the years 1981 through 2010.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Frost-free period (characteristic range) | 117-140 days |
| Freeze-free period (characteristic range) | 144-170 days |
| Precipitation total (characteristic range) | 42-48 in |
| Frost-free period (actual range) | 98-146 days |
| Freeze-free period (actual range) | 133-180 days |
| Precipitation total (actual range) | 40-54 in |
| Frost-free period (average) | 126 days |
| Freeze-free period (average) | 159 days |
| Precipitation total (average) | 46 in |




Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range




Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range




Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature




Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern




Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) BELFAST [USC00170480], Belfast, ME
- (2) ACADIA NP [USC00170100], Bar Harbor, ME
- (3) CORINNA [USC00171628], Corinna, ME
- (4) DOVER-FOXCROFT WWTP [USC00171975], Dover Foxcroft, ME
- (5) FARMINGTON [USC00172765], Farmington, ME
- (6) GARDINER [USC00173046], Gardiner, ME
- (7) JONESBORO [USC00174183], Addison, ME
- (8) LEWISTON [USC00174566], Auburn, ME
- (9) MADISON [USC00174927], Anson, ME
- (10) NEWCASTLE [USC00175675], Newcastle, ME
- (11) ORONO [USC00176430], Old Town, ME
- (12) WATERVILLE TRTMT PLT [USC00179151], Waterville, ME
- (13) WEST ROCKPORT 1 NNW [USC00179593], Rockport, ME
- (14) AUGUSTA STATE AP [USW00014605], Augusta, ME
- (15) BANGOR INTL AP [USW00014606], Bangor, ME
- (16) PORTLAND INTL JETPORT [USW00014764], Portland, ME

Influencing water features

This site is not typically influenced by streams or wetlands.

Soil features

This site is characterized by deep clay soils formed in marine or lacustrine sediments. They are moderately well- to well-drained and have very few rock fragments. Soil pH is typically somewhat acidic, but not as acidic as soils formed in other parent materials.

Table 4. Representative soil features

| | |
|--|--|
| Parent material | (1) Glaciomarine deposits (2) Glaciolacustrine deposits |
| Surface texture | (1) Silt loam (2) Fine sandy loam |
| Drainage class | Very poorly drained to poorly drained |
| Soil depth | 0–60 in |
| Surface fragment cover <=3" | 0% |
| Surface fragment cover >3" | 0–2% |
| Available water capacity (Depth not specified) | 4.3–10.5 in |
| Electrical conductivity (Depth not specified) | 0 mmhos/cm |
| Sodium adsorption ratio (Depth not specified) | 0 |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified) | 4.5–6.5 |
| Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified) | 0% |
| Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified) | 0–3% |

Ecological dynamics

This site typically supports stands of conifer-dominated mixedwood. Tree species are diverse, typically with conifers such as red spruce, larch, and white pine more abundant than hardwoods, which include red maple and grey birch. Logging and blowdowns create open patches where herbaceous and mid-seral communities occur following disturbance. Insects and disease may weaken trees on this site as well. The lack of rocks and relatively higher soil nutrient levels of this site are conducive to land-use conversion from forest land to cropland, hayland, or pasture. Pine plantations have been observed on this site.


Relationship to Other Classification Systems

This site includes the following state natural heritage program types:

NONE

State and transition model

F144BY402ME – Clay Hills



State 1

Reference State / Current Potential

Community 1.1

Conifer-dominated Mixedwoods Forest

Softwoods predominate, typically white pine, red spruce and larch, with some hardwoods present.

Community 1.2

Herbaceous Phase

Wild raspberry, ferns, and other herbs colonize the open land

Community 1.3

Doghair Forest

Spruce, white pine, larch and balsam fir saplings growing close together with sparsely occurring red maple and other hardwoods.

Community 1.4

Mixedwoods Forest

50-80 year old hardwoods. Early successional species (white birch, fir, grey birch, aspen) dying out.

Pathway P1.1-1.2

Community 1.1 to 1.2

windthrow, blowdown, fire

Pathway P1.2-1.3
Community 1.2 to 1.3

vegetation development (succession)

Pathway P1.3-1.4
Community 1.3 to 1.4

vegetation development (succession)

Pathway P1.4-1.1
Community 1.4 to 1.1

windthrow, blowdown, fire

Pathway P1.4-1.2
Community 1.4 to 1.2

windthrow, blowdown, fire

State 2
Grassland / Hay land

Community 2.1
Pasture or Hay Land (FSG4)

Cleared and planted fields of mostly perennial herbaceous species.

State 3
Crop Land

Community 3.1
Annual or Perennial Crops

Cleared and cultivated fields, heavily managed with regular soil disturbance.

State 4
White Pine

Community 4.1
Herbs and Shrubs

Wild raspberry, ferns, and other herbs colonize the open land

Community 4.2
White Pine Forest

Single age white pine forest.

Pathway P4.1-4.2
Community 4.1 to 4.2

Vegetation development (succession)

Pathway P4.2-4.1
Community 4.2 to 4.1

harvest, logging

Conservation practices

| |
|--------------------------|
| Forest Stand Improvement |
| Forest Land Management |

Transition T1-2

State 1 to 2

tree removal, pasture or hayfield establishment

Conservation practices

| |
|--------------------------------|
| Clearing and Snagging |
| Land Clearing |
| Invasive Plant Species Control |
| Managed Haying/Grazing |

Transition T1-3

State 1 to 3

Tree clearing, crop establishment

Conservation practices

| |
|-----------------------|
| Clearing and Snagging |
| Cover Crop |
| Land Clearing |

Transition T1-4

State 1 to 4

selective harvest

Conservation practices

| |
|--------------------------|
| Forest Stand Improvement |
| Forest Land Management |

Transition R2-1

State 2 to 1

abandonment, vegetation development (succession), planting

Conservation practices

| |
|---|
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment |
| Upland Wildlife Habitat Management |
| Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems |
| Native Plant Community Restoration and Management |
| Invasive Plant Species Control |

| |
|------------------------|
| Managed Haying/Grazing |
|------------------------|

Transition T2-4
State 2 to 4

tree establishment

Conservation practices

| |
|--------------------------------|
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment |
| Invasive Plant Species Control |

Restoration pathway R3-1
State 3 to 1

abandonment, vegetation development (succession), tree planting

Conservation practices

| |
|---|
| Tree/Shrub Establishment |
| Upland Wildlife Habitat Management |
| Tree/Shrub Pruning |
| Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems |
| Native Plant Community Restoration and Management |
| Invasive Plant Species Control |

Transition T3-4
State 3 to 4

tree planting

Conservation practices

| |
|--------------------------------|
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment |
| Invasive Plant Species Control |

Restoration pathway R4-1
State 4 to 1

abandonment, vegetation development (succession), plantings

Conservation practices

| |
|---|
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment |
| Upland Wildlife Habitat Management |
| Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems |
| Native Plant Community Restoration and Management |
| Invasive Plant Species Control |

Restoration pathway T4-2

State 4 to 2

Tree removal, pasture or hay land establishment

Conservation practices

| |
|-----------------------|
| Clearing and Snagging |
| Land Clearing |

Transition T4-3

State 4 to 3

tree removal, cropland establishment

Conservation practices

| |
|-----------------------|
| Clearing and Snagging |
| Cover Crop |
| Land Clearing |

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

Site Development and Testing Plan

Future work is needed, as described in a project plan, to validate the information presented in this provisional ecological site description. Future work includes field sampling, data collection and analysis by qualified vegetation ecologists and soil scientists. As warranted, annual reviews of the project plan can be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD are necessary to approve a final document.

Other references

Gawler, S. and A. Cutko. 2010. Natural Landscapes of Maine. A Guide to Natural Communities and Ecosystems. Maine Natural Areas Program, Maine Department of Conservation, Augusta, ME.

Johanson, J. K., Butler, N. R. and C. Bickford. 2016. Classifying Northern New England Landscapes for Improved Conservation. *Rangelands* 38:6.

Sperduto, D.D. and W.F. Nichols. 2004. Natural Communities of New Hampshire. New Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau and The Nature Conservancy.

Thompson, E. H., and E. R. Sorenson. 2000. Wetland, woodland, wildland: A guide to the natural communities of Vermont. The Nature Conservancy and the Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife. University Press of New England, Hanover, NH. 456 pp.

USDA NRCS 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. USDA Handbook 296.

Contributors

Jamin Johanson

Nick Butler

Carl Bickford

Approval

Nels Barrett, 6/29/2020

Acknowledgments

Nels Barrett, Ph.D.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Author(s)/participant(s) | |
| Contact for lead author | |
| Date | 05/04/2024 |
| Approved by | Nels Barrett |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

-
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**
-
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**
-
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**
-
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant:
- Sub-dominant:
- Other:
- Additional:
-
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
-
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
-
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**
-
17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-