

Ecological site F144BY507ME

Semi-rich Till Toeslope

Last updated: 6/29/2020
 Accessed: 05/03/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

This major land resource area (MLRA) is characterized by plateaus, plains, and mountains. The climate is generally cool and humid with an average annual precipitation of 34 to 62 inches (865 to 1,575 millimeters). The average annual air temperature is typically 40 to 48 degrees F (4 to 9 degrees C). The freeze-free period generally is 130 to 200 days, but it ranges from 110 days in the higher mountains to 240 days in some areas along the Atlantic coast. The soils in this region are dominantly Entisols, Spodosols, and Inceptisols. They commonly have a fragipan. The dominant suborders are Ochrepts, Orthods, Aquepts, Fluvents, and Sapristis. The soils in the region dominantly have a frigid soil temperature regime with some cryic areas at higher elevation, a udic soil moisture regime, and mixed mineralogy. Most of the land is forested, and 98 percent is privately owned. Significant amounts of forest products are produced including lumber, pulpwood, Christmas trees, and maple syrup. Principal agricultural crops include forage and grains for dairy cattle, potatoes, apples, and blueberries. Wildlife habitat and recreation are important land uses. Stoniness, steep slopes, and poor drainage limit the use of many of the soils.

Classification relationships

NRCS:

Land Resource Region: R—Northeastern Forage and Forest Region

MLRA: 144B—New England and Eastern New York Upland, Northern Part

Ecological site concept

This site occurs on deep, poorly- to somewhat poorly-drained loam soils derived from calcareous bedrock. Bedrock is greater than 20 inches below the mineral soil surface. This site is found on footslope and toeslope positions that receive additional water and nutrients from higher areas of the watershed. The vegetation is characterized by northern hardwoods, particularly sugar maple, basswood, ash, and northern white cedar. These are productive soils with some rich site indicators in the understory, including blue cohosh, Christmas fern, and royal fern. These soils are often farmed.

Associated sites

F144BY230ME	Acidic Peat Wetland Complex The Loamy Till Swamp site occurs lower in the watershed than the Semi-rich Till Toeslope site. The two sites occur together along a soil drainage gradient from somewhat poorly to poorly- and very poorly-drained.
F144BY507ME	Semi-rich Till Toeslope The Semi-rich Till Toeslope site usually occurs downslope, on wetter, richer soils than the Semi-rich Till Slope.

Similar sites

F144BY502ME	<p>Loamy Till Toeslope</p> <p>The Semi-rich Till Toeslope site has similar soil texture and wetness, but is distinguished by higher soil nutrients derived from calcareous parent material (such as limestone), as evidenced by high soil pH and rich site indicator species (particularly basswood).</p>
F144BY506ME	<p>Semi-rich Till Slope</p> <p>The Semi-rich Till Toeslope site usually occurs downslope, on wetter, richer soils than the Semi-rich Till Slope.</p>
F144BY504ME	<p>Enriched Loamy Cove</p> <p>While both sites have rich site indicators, the Enriched Loamy Cove site occurs along drainageways, is well-drained, lacks calcareous parent material, and has a thick dark soil surface horizon. By contrast, the Semi-rich Till Toeslope occurs at the base of calcareous slopes and is poorly- to somewhat poorly-drained. These two sites produce very similar communities.</p>

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Acer saccharum</i> (2) <i>Fraxinus americana</i>
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs mostly on gentle slopes near the base of till landforms. Slopes are typically 0-8%, sometimes up to 15%. Elevations range from 50-1310 feet above sea level. This site has a seasonally-high water table within 0-24 inches of the soil surface.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Till plain > Till plain (2) Hills > Hill (3) Drumlin field > Drumlin
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	50–1,310 ft
Slope	0–8%
Water table depth	0–24 in
Aspect	W, NW, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW

Climatic features

The climate is humid and temperate. It is characterized by warm summers and cold winters. The average first frost around October 1st and the last freeze of the season occurs around April 23rd. Temperature extremes in the summer can reach as high as 100 degrees F and as low as -33 degrees F in the winter. The average relative humidity is 71 percent. The sun shines on average 57 percent of the time. Bad storm events can come in from the northeast, thus the term “nor’easter”. Winter blizzards can result in several feet of snow, while summer hurricane events can produce 2-3 inches of rain per hour. Annual rainfall occurs quite evenly over the entire year with August being the driest month during the growing season from April through September. Rainfall during this period generally falls during thunderstorms, and fairly large amounts of rain may fall in a short time. Eighty-eight percent of the snowfall occurs from December through March and average total snowfall is 64 inches per year. This makes for a “mud season” from March through April where runoff is high and ponding may occur because surface water runoff is very slow. The original data used in developing the table below was obtained from the USDA-NRCS National Water & Climate Center climate information database. All the climate station monthly averages for maximum and

minimum temperature and precipitation were then added together and averaged to make this table. The precipitation and temperature data come from the years 1981 through 2010.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	117-140 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	144-170 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	42-48 in
Frost-free period (actual range)	98-146 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	133-180 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	40-54 in
Frost-free period (average)	126 days
Freeze-free period (average)	159 days
Precipitation total (average)	46 in




Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range




Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range




Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature




Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern




Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) BELFAST [USC00170480], Belfast, ME
- (2) ACADIA NP [USC00170100], Bar Harbor, ME
- (3) CORINNA [USC00171628], Corinna, ME
- (4) DOVER-FOXCROFT WWTP [USC00171975], Dover Foxcroft, ME
- (5) FARMINGTON [USC00172765], Farmington, ME
- (6) GARDINER [USC00173046], Gardiner, ME
- (7) JONESBORO [USC00174183], Addison, ME
- (8) LEWISTON [USC00174566], Auburn, ME
- (9) MADISON [USC00174927], Anson, ME
- (10) NEWCASTLE [USC00175675], Newcastle, ME
- (11) ORONO [USC00176430], Old Town, ME
- (12) WATERVILLE TRTMT PLT [USC00179151], Waterville, ME
- (13) WEST ROCKPORT 1 NNW [USC00179593], Rockport, ME
- (14) AUGUSTA STATE AP [USW00014605], Augusta, ME
- (15) BANGOR INTL AP [USW00014606], Bangor, ME
- (16) PORTLAND INTL JETPORT [USW00014764], Portland, ME

Influencing water features

This site is not typically influenced by streams or wetlands

Soil features

The soils of this site are deep, poorly- and somewhat poorly-drained. They formed in semi-rich till characterized by circumneutral pH, the lack of a densely compacted subsurface horizon, and few rocks. When present, rock fragments are usually soft, and easy to break with your fingers.

Soil textures are silt loams to sandy loams throughout the profile. Soil pH increases with depth and ranges from 4.5 to 7.3. Depressions may pond water for brief periods and accumulate organic matter, but often the soil surface is bare of leaf litter.

Representative soils are Nicholville, Roundabout, Raynham, Kenduskeag, and Monarda.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Till–calcareous conglomerate
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Very fine sandy loam
Drainage class	Moderately well drained to somewhat poorly drained
Soil depth	0–20 in
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–2%
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	3.9–9.4 in
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	4.5–7.3
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0–7%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0–7%

Ecological dynamics

The vegetation is characterized by northern hardwoods, particularly sugar maple, yellow birch, basswood, ash, and northern white cedar. Christmas fern, blue cohosh, and royal fern are often present in the understory as rich site indicators. Historically, American chestnut would also be present on this site, but currently it has been all but eliminated from the region by chestnut blight.

When forested, treethrow and logging are the most common disturbances on this site. The site is resilient following these disturbances and succeeds through an herbaceous and shrubby phase prior to tree establishment and eventual return to the reference community.

On gentler slopes, this site is often cultivated for crop or pasture given the richness of the soil. When cropland or pastureland management ceases, as occurred across most of the area in the late 19th century, the site either returns to hardwoods or may transition to a white pine forest. Once white pine is established, it tends to form a single age stand with low diversity and little understory.


Relationship to Other Classification Systems

This site includes the following state natural heritage program types:


- Rich mesic forests (Sperduto and Nichols 2004)
- Enriched Northern Hardwoods Forest (Gawler and Cutko 2010)
- Sugar Maple Forest (Gawler and Cutko 2010)
- Rich mesic forests (Thompson and Sorenson 2000)

State and transition model


Ecosystem states




State 1 submodel, plant communities




State 2 submodel, plant communities



State 3 submodel, plant communities



State 4 submodel, plant communities



State 1
Reference State / Current Potential

Community 1.1
Northern Hardwood Forest

Hardwoods dominate multi-age stand, typically sugar maple, cedar yellow birch, basswood, and ash.

Community 1.2
Herbaceous Phase

Wild raspberry, ferns, and other herbs colonize the open land

Community 1.3
Successional Forest

Diverse young hardwoods, including species not dominant in the reference community

Community 1.4
Mature Forest 50-80 yr

50-80 year old hardwood stand with scattered pioneer species

Pathway P1.1-1.2
Community 1.1 to 1.2

windthrow, blowdown, fire

Pathway P1.2-1.3
Community 1.2 to 1.3

vegetation development (succession)

Pathway P1.3-1.4
Community 1.3 to 1.4

vegetation development (succession)

Pathway P1.4-1.1
Community 1.4 to 1.1

windthrow, blowdown, fire

Pathway P1.4-1.2
Community 1.4 to 1.2

windthrow, blowdown, fire

State 2
Grassland / Hay land

Community 2.1
Pasture or Hay Land

Cleared and planted fields of mostly perennial herbaceous species.

State 3
Crop Land

Community 3.1
Annual or Perennial Crops

Cleared and cultivated fields, heavily managed with regular soil disturbance.

State 4
White Pine

Community 4.1
Herbs and Shrubs

Wild raspberry, ferns, and other herbs colonize the open land

Community 4.2
White Pine Forest

Single age white pine forest.

Pathway P4.1-4.2
Community 4.1 to 4.2

Vegetation development (succession)

Pathway P4.2-4.1
Community 4.2 to 4.1

harvest, logging

Conservation practices

Forest Stand Improvement
Forest Land Management

Transition T1-2
State 1 to 2

tree removal, pasture or hayfield establishment

Conservation practices

Clearing and Snagging
Land Clearing
Invasive Plant Species Control
Managed Haying/Grazing

Transition T1-3
State 1 to 3

Tree clearing, crop establishment

Conservation practices

Clearing and Snagging
Cover Crop
Land Clearing

Transition T1-4
State 1 to 4

selective harvest

Conservation practices

Forest Stand Improvement
Forest Land Management

Transition R2-1
State 2 to 1

abandonment, vegetation development (succession), planting

Conservation practices

Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Tree/Shrub Establishment
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems
Native Plant Community Restoration and Management
Invasive Plant Species Control
Managed Haying/Grazing

Transition T2-4
State 2 to 4

tree establishment

Conservation practices

Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Tree/Shrub Establishment
Invasive Plant Species Control

Restoration pathway R3-1
State 3 to 1

abandonment, vegetation development (succession), tree planting

Conservation practices

Tree/Shrub Establishment
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Tree/Shrub Pruning
Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems

Native Plant Community Restoration and Management
Invasive Plant Species Control

Transition T3-4
State 3 to 4

tree planting

Conservation practices

Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Tree/Shrub Establishment
Invasive Plant Species Control

Restoration pathway R4-1
State 4 to 1

abandonment, vegetation development (succession), plantings

Conservation practices

Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Tree/Shrub Establishment
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems
Native Plant Community Restoration and Management
Invasive Plant Species Control

Restoration pathway T4-2
State 4 to 2

Tree removal, pasture or hay land establishment

Conservation practices

Clearing and Snagging
Land Clearing

Transition T4-3
State 4 to 3

tree removal, cropland establishment

Conservation practices

Clearing and Snagging
Cover Crop
Land Clearing

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

Site Development and Testing Plan

Future work is needed, as described in a project plan, to validate the information presented in this provisional ecological site description. Future work includes field sampling, data collection and analysis by qualified vegetation ecologists and soil scientists. As warranted, annual reviews of the project plan can be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD are necessary to approve a final document.

Contributors

Jamin Johanson
Nick Butler
Carl Bickford

Approval

Nels Barrett, 6/29/2020

Acknowledgments

Nels Barrett, Ph.D.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/03/2024
Approved by	Nels Barrett
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if**

their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
