

Ecological site R150AY639TX Clay Loam

Last updated: 9/22/2023 Accessed: 04/17/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Vivian Garcia, RMS, NRCS Corpus Christi, TX
Contact for lead author	361-241-0609
Date	03/18/2009
Approved by	Bryan Christensen
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills: None.
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns: None.
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Less than 20 percent bare ground randomly distributed throughout.
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None.
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Small to medium-sized litter may move short distances during intense storms.

- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values): Stability class ranges 4 to 6 at surface. Soil surface is resistant to erosion.
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): 0 to 6 inches; gray (10YR 5/1) clay loam, very dark gray (10YR 3/1) moist; structureless; hard, friable; calcareous; moderately alkaline; abrupt smooth boundary.
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: This reference community has an adequate litter and little bare ground would provide for maximum infiltration and little runoff under normal rainfall events.
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Warm-season midgrasses

Sub-dominant: Warm-season tallgrasses Warm-season shortgrasses Forbs Shrubs/Vines

Other:

Additional:

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Grasses due to their growth habit will exhibit some mortality and decadence, though very slight.
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter is primarily herbaceous.
- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction): 3,500 to 6,500 pounds per acre.
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Mesquite, huisache, retama, other thorny shrubs.

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All species should be capable of reproducing except for periods of prolonged drought conditions, heavy natural herbivory, and intense wild fires.